REGULATORY FLEXIBILITIES AND TENSIONS IN PUBLIC HEALTH AND TRADE — AN ASIAN PERSPECTIVE

Locknie Hsu*

ABSTRACT

Regulatory issues relating to public health are a source of tensions in recent trade and investment negotiations, treaties and disputes. Issues arising from the intersection between public health regulation and trade and investment treaties have given Asian states pause for thought. They have led to a critical need to confront the scope and meaning of legal obligations vis-à-vis public health and regulatory objectives, and their implications for stakeholder interests. The intersection and resulting tensions have already led the WTO, WHO and WIPO to work together in an unprecedented manner to address some of the issues at the global level. The laws evolving around these

^{*} Professor, School of Law, Singapore Management University and the author wishes to acknowledge with thanks the invaluable research support from Ms Rachel Loke; email: lockniehsu@smu.edu.sg. The research for this article benefited from funding from the Singapore Management University. The author can be reached at lockniehsu@smu.edu.sg. Some aspects of this article were first discussed as a paper for the Society for International Economic Law Global Conference on "Regulatory Challenges in International Economic Law: Convergence or Divergence?" held from 10-12 July 2014, Bern, Switzerland.

issues are demonstrating a notable divergence. As an example, the debate on access to medicines demonstrates divergent approaches to solutions. This paper examines the reasons behind legal and policy divergences in public health issues in the context of treaty obligations, with examples from Asia, and suggests that a convergence of purpose(s) is needed for a convergence of solutions to be found, in order to deal with such tensions.

KEYWORDS: trade, investment, public health, TRIPS, flexibilities, medicines, FTAs, BITs